

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/621,825

Applicant(s)

WARNER ET AL.

Examiner

Ernest G. Therkorn

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.(2) Samuel L. Borkowsky.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 October 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner required a restriction between claims 1-7 and 8-13 on October 27, 2004. On October 28, 2004, the examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. Samuel L. Borkowsky then authorized the cancellation to be made by examiner's amendment. .